

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/683,342	12/17/2001	Andrew James Stanford-Clark	GB320000079US1	4068	
75	90 08/10/2004		EXAMINER		
David Aker Esq.			DICUS, TAMRA		
23 Southern Ro	ad				
Hartsdale, NY	10530		ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
			1774		
			DATE MAILED: 08/10/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/683,342	STANFORD-CLARK, ANDREW JAMES	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avifinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amo the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	unt of the fee. The approriginally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>24 June 2004</u>. App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 			ı in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 36-50</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		_	i
10. Other:	(0)(1 / 0 / 1 / 10) / 3ps/ 110(0)	- 5 W	
		TH MULYANEY REXAMINER	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant had no antecedant basis for a "first apparatus" and now Applicant's reciting "apparatus" would constitue a further search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The temperature sensitive display medium is provided for by the prior art for reasons of record. Applicant argues claim 13, however claim 13 is not new matter but lack of written description. Applicant pointed to pages 8, and 17-18 to allege teaching of now having a change that is not reversible, however, this language nor meaning is within the pages cited by Applicant or within the original disclosure. The rejections are maintained for reasons o record.